

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/740,191	1	12/19/2000	Liang-Chang Dong	ARC 2556N1 7458		
30766	7590	11/23/2005		EXAMINER		
DEWIPAT			SHEIKH, HUMERA N			
4606 FM 1960 WEST, SUITE 400, PMB 166 HOUSTON, TX 77069			00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1615		

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/740,191	DONG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Humera N. Sheikh	1615		

3	LAGIIIIIIGI	Ait oilt							
	Humera N. Sheikh	1615							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for						
appeal, and/of (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.									
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).									
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-15, 18, 24-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>revidence</u>	not be entered is necessary						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:						
2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)									
13. Other:									
•									

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's claim amendment provides for limitations not previously presented during prosecution. Thus, the claims as now presented, would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that the 'Wong et al. ('280) patent and the Lambert et al. ('373) patent do not disclose or teach a self-emulsifying drug formulation in a capsule comprising a progestogenic steroid, an oil, and an emulsifier' selected from the list of claim 12 is not persuasive since the prior art does recognize and teach formulations comprising a capsule having a beneficial agent liquid, along with progesterone steroids, oils and emulsifiers. One of ordinary skill in the art would be fully capable of determining suitable or effective emulsifiers, based on the intended purpose. Applicants have not demonstrated any unexpected or superior results, which accrue from the instantly claimed emulsifiers.

TEGRAPE BOYEN ENGENNER TEGRAPE BOYEN ENGENNER TEGRAPE BOYEN ENGE